

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
					•
08/319,464	10/04/9	4 CLOUGH		W	
				EXAMINER BRIER, J	
		26M2/0404	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLES J I				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CESARI AND					5
30 ROWES WI				2615	
BOSTON PIP				DATE MAILED:	
					04/04/95
This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PAT	om the examiner in TENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application.			
O MINIO O O TELLO O TELLO					•
		п			This action is made final
This application has b	een examined (Responsive to communicat	•		
A shortened statutory peri	od for response to	this action is set to expire	month(s)	days from	n the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within t	he period for respo	nse will cause the application to	become abandon	ed. 35 U.S.C. 133	ż
Part I THE FOLLOWING	3 ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION	:	,	· Spare
_/		,		·	
1. Notice of Refe					ent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Application, PTO-152.
3. Notice of Art C	ited by Applicant, I	PTO-1449. wing Changes, PTO-1474	6. Note	29 OI INIONNAI PAIGNI	opinication, r ro-152.
5. Li Information on	HOW ID Ellect Dra	wing Changes, 110-1474	. .		<u> </u>
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION	•			•
1. Claims	16 -	27			are pending in the application
i. Ciamis/		<u> </u>			
Of the above	ve, claims			are	withdrawn from consideration.
. 17/	2-15	-			have been cancelled.
2. 4 Claims					
3. Claims		·			are allowed.
m/ 1	16-2	-2 and 24	ークスフ	7	are relected
4. (4) Claims/_	10 -	-2 974			_ 4.0 10,00000.
5. Claims/	<u> 23 </u>	1.5			objected to.
6. Claims			я	re subject to restrictio	n or election reduirement.
					•
7. This application	has been filed with	Informal drawings under 37 C.F.	R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exami	nation purposes.
e	are required in res	ponse to this Office action.			
			٠.	l ladar 07.0	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
9. The corrected or	substitute drawing	s have been received on lie (see explanation or Notice of	Oraftsman's Pater	Under 37 C nt Drawing Review. P	FO-948).
10. The proposed a	dditional or substitu	rte sheet(s) of drawings, filed on		has (have) been	approved by the
		examiner (see explanation).			•
11. The proposed dr	awing correction, f	led, ha	s been 🔲 appro	ved; disapproved	(see explanation).
40 Asknowladaama	ent le made of the C	ialm for oriority under 35 U.S.C.	119. The certified	d copy has Deen r	
12. Acknowledgeme	parent application,	serial no.	; filed on		
13. Since this applic	ation annears to i	oe In condition for allowance exc	ot for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
accordance with	the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	453 O.G. 213.		
14 Other					

Serial Number: 08/319,464 Art Unit: 2615

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an Abstract of the 1. Disclosure as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.72(b). An Abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 16-22 and 24-27 are rejected under the judicially 2. created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 6-15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,379,057. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the mirnor differences in the claim language is an obvious way of prolonging applicants patent coverage. The independent claims of this application 1, 24 and 26 are slightly broader than the patented claims. Claim 1 is similar in scope to patented claim 12 and claims 16 to 22 which depend directly or indirectly upon claim 1 are similar in scope to patented claims 2 and 6-11 which are directly or indirectly dependent upon claim 1. To shift the dependency of patented dependent claims from one patented claim to another patented claim in this application is an obvious way of unduely extending the time of applicants patent protection. Claim 24 is similar to patented claim 12. Claim 25 is similar to patented claim 13 except that patented claim 13 has a pictogram memory while claim 25 substitutes the syntax memory of patented

Serial Number: 08/319,464

Art Unit: 2615

claim 10 for the pictogram memory of patented claim 13. This is an obvious way of unduely extending the time of applicants patent protection. Claim 26 is similar to patented claim 14. Claim 27 is similar to patented claim 15 except that patented claim 15 has a pictogram memory while claim 25 substitutes the syntax memory of patented claim 10 for the pictogram memory of patented claim 15. This is an obvious way of unduely extending the time of applicants patent protection.

- 3. Applicants' claimed invention is broader than the claimed invention of applicants' earlier application/patent for the reasons given above. The courts have upheld a holding of obvious type double patenting of claims to a later filed application when the claims of the later filed application are broader than the claims of the earlier filed application/patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). In re Schneller, 188 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).
- 4. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

· Serial Number: 08/319,464

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Day, Jr. et al U.S. Patent No. 4,763,356.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:45am to 5:15pm eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tommy P. Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4715. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)-305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

March 30, 1995

DEFFERY BRIER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2600